

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,776	04/05/2001		John Erik Hershey	RD-24,495	1295
41838	7590	08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		RIC COMPANY	LUGO, DAVID B		
C/O FLETC P. O. BOX 6		DER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,		69-2289		2637	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
4	
••	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/825,776	HERSHEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David B. Lugo	2637	

	David B. Lugo	2037						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		dusing an almostificae	the leaves for					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Alamah, Glad aanamadan	ant nonnolina tha					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	-						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-20.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13. Other:								

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. The transmitter of Scott '980 is configured to transmit a preamble. The transmission of a colored noise-like preamble does not alter the configuration of the claimed transmitter. Accordingly, the device of Scott is considered to disclose a transmitter configured to transmit a colored noise-like preamble.

Woranarytur
KHAITRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 7/28/05